

September 1, 2026

Market Segment Outlook: Global Non-Life Reinsurance

**A market
that remains
fundamentally
strong even as it
moves past the
peak of the hard
property market**

AM Best has maintained its Stable outlook on the global non-life reinsurance segment, based on the following factors:

- A pricing environment that, while clearly softening in property coverages, remains supportive of solid operating results.
- Robust nominal and risk-adjusted capital positions, reinforced by prudent capital management plans.
- Sustained underwriting performance in property catastrophe covers, despite competitive pressures, benefiting from continued overall favorable terms and conditions and higher attachment points.
- Elevated interest rates that continue to enhance investment income and provide an earnings tailwind.

Key countervailing factors include:

- Accelerated softening in property reinsurance pricing, accompanied by a modest relaxation of terms and conditions and the reappearance of aggregate covers.
- Persistent social inflation and corresponding historical reserve and pricing insufficiency in certain US casualty lines of business.
- Elevated frequency and severity of weather-related events.
- Geopolitical and macroeconomic uncertainty, including inflationary pressures, shifting monetary policy, and potential volatility in financial markets.

Regulatory Environment

Certain classes of casualty business in the US remain affected by an unfavorable legal and regulatory environment. Currently there does not appear to be a bipartisan consensus in Congress regarding federal limits on personal injury awards. Tort reform remains a state issue that has led to uneven progress, with only a few states seriously considering measurable reforms. AM Best does not expect broader reform until casualty insurance costs increase to the point that coverage becomes unaffordable to policyholders or insurers cease providing these coverages. Additionally, significant litigation financing remains available to the plaintiffs' bar in almost all states, and this continues to encourage nuclear verdicts that compound the effect of social inflation on loss reserve adequacy and pricing sufficiency seen in certain large casualty subclasses. The risks associated with systemic litigation abuse and the resulting inflationary pressures are expected to persist. These dynamics may constrain the appetite of reinsurers for maintenance and growth of casualty reinsurance underwriting portfolios.

Analytical Contact:

Michael Lagomarsino, Oldwick
+1 (908) 882-1993
Michael.Lagomarsino@ambest.com

Contributors:

Steven Chirico, Oldwick
Dan Hofmeister, Oldwick

2026-110

Competitive Landscape

Capital positions remain exceptionally strong. AM Best projects segment capital to reach record levels for full-year 2026, approximately USD 575 billion in traditional reinsurance capital supplemented by USD 130 billion of third-party capital. The segment's strong performance since 2023 has driven robust organic capital generation, leaving the market with ample capacity either to return capital to shareholders or to deploy it in writing business. While balance sheet strength is favorable from a credit perspective, the imbalance created by abundant capacity, partially offset by growth in demand for reinsurance, has been a primary driver of pricing erosion in property and property catastrophe lines of business. To date, most reinsurers have balanced deployment of additional capacity into the market with an increasing pace of capital return.

Structurally, the recent hard market did not attract a surge of new company formations, a marked departure from prior hard market cycles. This enabled established players to emphasize sustainable profitability over aggressive market share expansion and retention. Merger and acquisition activity in the global reinsurance segment is expected to remain selective, with firms pursuing scale, diversification, and access to specialty capabilities amid evolving market conditions. Third-party capital, however, has continued to perform strongly, with catastrophe bond issuance hitting a first-half record in 2026. That momentum could sustain investor interest and add further competitive pressure to property catastrophe pricing, particularly in more remote layers of coverage.

While there has been some measured relaxation in terms and conditions, AM Best has not observed significantly lower retentions. Instead, the changes have been more focused on broadening policy wording and narrowing exclusions. These changes can have a meaningful impact to reinsurance programs. There has been an increase in available capacity for aggregate covers, which can be viewed as a possible early indication of a deteriorating market. While reinsurers' willingness to write aggregate covers has increased to an extent, these treaties are still generally being structured with higher attachment points or to provide second-event covers, as opposed to providing earnings protection to ceding companies.

In casualty, reinsurers have reduced capacity in higher volatility segments such as commercial auto, general liability, and excess liability, where social inflation, litigation trends, and reserve uncertainty continue to pressure results. Capacity remains available for well-performing portfolios, but underwriting scrutiny has intensified, particularly for US casualty business, resulting in tighter terms and higher attachment points. While pricing has been increasing, loss-cost uncertainty is expected to encourage underwriting discipline that may act to constrain capacity growth.

Specialty reinsurance markets remain more competitive, supported by strong capitalization, favorable earnings, and demand for diversified premium growth. Increased capacity has resulted in stable terms and modest pricing pressure across several classes, including marine, energy, aviation, engineering, and select financial lines. Concurrently, geopolitical tensions in the Middle East have reinforced awareness of risk accumulation across marine, aviation, energy, and political violence exposures. Despite heightened competition, reinsurers remain focused on risk-adjusted returns and underwriting discipline.

Performance Trends

The reinsurance pricing cycle is clearly past the peak of a protracted hard property market, as softening accelerated during 2026. Guy Carpenter's Global Property Catastrophe Rate-on-Line Index, down 12% at January 1, stood 16% lower for 2026 to date after the mid-year renewals. This index is still above 2022 levels. Reinsurers have largely upheld underwriting discipline, maintaining higher

attachment points and tighter terms and conditions, so while projected margins have narrowed, property exposures are still being priced at levels that remain above technical adequacy in the aggregate.

Loss experience reinforced the competitive environment. Global insured catastrophe losses in the first half of 2026 were relatively modest, estimated at USD 42 billion to USD 47 billion, below the ten-year first-half average. The favorable conditions were reflected in first-half results, with many leading reinsurers reporting property/casualty combined ratios below 80% and returns on equity exceeding 20%. Assuming catastrophe activity, particularly in the North Atlantic hurricane season, remains within expectations, underwriting performance is expected to remain strong and support favorable returns that exceed the segment's cost of capital in 2026.

Investment income continues to supplement earnings despite diverging global interest rate policies. For reinsurers with diversified fixed income portfolios, new money yields remain above historical portfolio yields, sustaining a favorable reinvestment dynamic. With most portfolios carrying three-to-five-year durations, investment income should remain resilient as assets roll over, although higher long-term interest rates and foreign exchange volatility present ongoing risks.

On the casualty side, several reinsurers strengthened loss reserves in 2024, 2025, and the first half of 2026, a trend AM Best expects to continue for the foreseeable future. The industry continues to raise casualty rates in response. In some cases, adverse development from long-tail lines has been partially offset by favorable development from property, specialty, and workers' compensation reserves, although that buffer appears to be diminishing.

Other Considerations

Catastrophe activity continues to be the key variable influencing the segment's results. The benign first half masks considerable seasonal risk, with reinsurers carrying largely unused catastrophe budgets into the peak of the North Atlantic hurricane season, which could reshape full-year results. The industry has now recorded six consecutive years of global insured natural-catastrophe losses exceeding USD 100 billion through 2025, underscoring the elevated frequency and severity of weather-related events. Broader macroeconomic uncertainty adds a further layer of complexity in assessing risk.

Geopolitical and macroeconomic conditions are becoming increasingly important considerations for reinsurers. Ongoing conflicts, rising protectionism, trade restrictions, and periodic supply-chain disruptions have made geopolitical risk a more persistent feature of the operating environment. These pressures can contribute to higher claims costs across property and specialty lines, increase the potential for political violence and other man-made losses, and complicate aggregation and accumulation risk, particularly in cyber.

AM Best's Stable outlook reflects a market that remains fundamentally strong even as it moves past the peak of the hard property market. Over the next twelve months, record capital, sustained underwriting discipline, and supportive investment income should keep returns at or above the cost of capital, positioning the segment to absorb accelerating property rate softening, US casualty reserve uncertainty, and ongoing catastrophe and macroeconomic risks while sustaining profitability.

GUIDE TO BEST’S MARKET SEGMENT OUTLOOKS

A market segment outlook reflects AM Best’s forward-looking assessment of the expected operating environment and conditions within a segment over the next 12 months. It is based on factors such as economic conditions, the regulatory environment, the competitive landscape, as well as performance trends and other considerations. The outlook provides context for how these factors collectively shape the segment’s trajectory.

A Best’s Market Segment Outlook, like a Best’s Credit Rating Outlook for a company, can be Positive, Negative, or Stable.

Best’s Market Segment Outlook

Positive	A Positive outlook indicates that market conditions are expected to improve or support operations and strong performance within the segment over the next 12 months.
Stable	A Stable outlook suggests that market conditions are expected to remain broadly consistent with the current environment, with no material positive or negative shifts anticipated with the segment over the next 12 months.
Negative	A Negative outlook signifies that market conditions are expected to deteriorate or present challenges to operations and performance within the segment over the next 12 months..

Copyright © 2026 A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. No portion of the content may be reproduced, distributed, or stored in a database or retrieval system, or transmitted, or uploaded into any external applications, algorithms, bots or websites, including those using artificial intelligence or machine learning technologies such as large language models (LLM), generative AI (Gen-AI) or retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AM Best. AM Best does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the AM Best content. While the content was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, its accuracy is not guaranteed. You specifically acknowledge that neither AM Best nor the content gives any investment, financial, tax, insurance, or legal advice. You are solely responsible for seeking competent professional advice before making any investment, financial, tax or insurance decision. For additional details, refer to our Terms of Use available at the AM Best website: <https://web.ambest.com/about/terms-of-use>.

Published by AM Best

BEST'S MARKET SEGMENT REPORT**A.M. Best Company Inc.**

Oldwick, NJ

CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT & CEO Arthur Snyder III**SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT & CFO Cynthia Young****SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT Lee McDonald****A.M. Best Rating Services Inc.**

Oldwick, NJ

PRESIDENT James Gillard**EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & COO Stefan W. Holzberger****EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & CSO Andrea Keenan****EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & CIO James F. Snee****SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTOR & CRO Kenneth Johnson****SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTOR & CCO Edward H. Easop****AMERICAS****WORLD HEADQUARTERS**

A.M. Best Company, Inc.

A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.

1 Ambest Road, Oldwick, NJ 08858

Phone: +1 908 439 2200

MEXICO CITY

A.M. Best América Latina, S.A. de C.V.

Av. Paseo de la Reforma 412, Piso 23,

Col. Juárez, Alcaldía Cuauhtémoc, C.P. 06600, Mexico City, Mexico

Phone: +52 55 1102 2720

EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA (EMEA)**LONDON**

A.M. Best Europe - Information Services Ltd.

A.M. Best Europe - Rating Services Ltd.

12 Arthur Street, 8th Floor, London, UK EC4R 9AB

Phone: +44 20 7626 6264

AMSTERDAM

A.M. Best (EU) Rating Services B.V.

NoMA House, Gustav Mahlerlaan 1212, 1081 LA Amsterdam, Netherlands

Phone: +31 20 308 5420

DUBAI*

A.M. Best Europe - Rating Services Ltd. - DIFC Branch*

Office 102, Tower 2, Currency House, DIFC

P.O. Box 506617, Dubai, UAE

Phone: +971 4375 2780

*Regulated by the DFSA as a Credit Rating Agency

ASIA-PACIFIC**HONG KONG**

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific Ltd

Unit 4004 Central Plaza, 18 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Phone: +852 2827 3400

SINGAPORE

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific (Singapore) Pte. Ltd

8 Cross Street, #21-03 Manulife Tower

Singapore 048424

Phone: +65 6303 5000



Best's Financial Strength Rating (FSR): an independent opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. An FSR is not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts.

Best's Issuer Credit Rating (ICR): an independent opinion of an entity's ability to meet its ongoing financial obligations and can be issued on either a long- or short-term basis.

Best's Issue Credit Rating (IR): an independent opinion of credit quality assigned to issues that gauges the ability to meet the terms of the obligation and can be issued on a long- or short-term basis (obligations with original maturities generally less than one year).

Best's National Scale Rating (NSR): a relative measure of credit-worthiness in a specific local jurisdiction that is issued on a long-term basis and derived exclusively by mapping the NSR from a corresponding global ICR using a transition chart.

Rating Disclosure: Use and Limitations

A Best's Credit Rating (BCR) is a forward-looking independent and objective opinion regarding an insurer's, issuer's or financial obligation's relative creditworthiness. The opinion represents a comprehensive analysis consisting of a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of balance sheet strength, operating performance, business profile, and enterprise risk management or, where appropriate, the specific nature and details of a security. Because a BCR is a forward-looking opinion as of the date it is released, it cannot be considered as a fact or guarantee of future credit quality and therefore cannot be described as accurate or inaccurate. A BCR is a relative measure of risk that implies credit quality and is assigned using a scale with a defined population of categories and notches. Entities or obligations assigned the same BCR symbol developed using the same scale, should not be viewed as completely identical in terms of credit quality. Alternatively, they are alike in category (or notches within a category), but given there is a prescribed progression of categories (and notches) used in assigning the ratings of a much larger population of entities or obligations, the categories (notches) cannot mirror the precise subtleties of risk that are inherent within similarly rated entities or obligations. While a BCR reflects the opinion of A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc. (AM Best) of relative creditworthiness, it is not an indicator or predictor of defined impairment or default probability with respect to any specific insurer, issuer or financial obligation. A BCR is not investment advice, nor should it be construed as a consulting or advisory service, as such; it is not intended to be utilized as a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract, security or any other financial obligation, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser. Users of a BCR should not rely on it in making any investment decision; however, if used, the BCR must be considered as only one factor. Users must make their own evaluation of each investment decision. A BCR opinion is provided on an "as is" basis without any expressed or implied warranty. In addition, a BCR may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of AM Best.

Version 011624