

Our Insight, Your Advantage™

June 24, 2024

## Market Segment Outlook: Global Cyber Insurance

**AM Best's  
outlook for the  
cyber insurance  
market is Stable  
due to cautious  
underwriting in  
a dynamic risk  
environment**

AM Best is assigning a Stable outlook for the global cyber insurance segment owing to the following factors:

- Greater demand/favorable intermediate-term growth prospects as take-up rates steadily improve
- Continuously improving cyber security hygiene
- Expected profitability over the intermediate term
- Improvements in underwriting practices and policy language
- Supportive reinsurance market and recent access to alternative risk transfer products such as insurance-linked securities (ILS)

Key countervailing factors include:

- Increased competition and modest premium growth in US, perhaps a sign of what's to come internationally
- Growing sophistication of attacks using AI, as well as ransomware and business e-mail compromise
- Aggregation risks from widespread use of cloud computing and essential software with large footprints across geographies and industries
- Model risk and divergence among models
- Heavy dependence on reinsurance

Over the past decade, the cyber insurance market has grown rapidly, navigating between fast-moving hard and soft market cycles while countering sophisticated threats and rising claims frequency and severity.

### Strong Performance Despite Recent Rate Moderation

AM Best expects that cyber coverage will continue to grow over time, as the heightening awareness of cyber risks contributes to an increase in exposures and, correspondingly, an increase in demand for cyber insurance. Cyber premiums grew rapidly through 2022, but declined slightly in 2023 owing to decreasing rates that were driven by additional capacity and competitive pressures. The cyber segment has had a limited impact on the risk-adjusted capitalization of the (re)insurers rated by AM Best. For most insurers, cyber remains a diversifying line and represents a fraction of the overall premium base. For cyber specialists, the risk-adjusted capital is appropriate to their rating level as estimated through cyber risk modelling and stress testing practices.

Over the last few years, more stringent underwriting standards have been implemented as (re)insurers set exclusions and limits for cyber—for example, more and more (re)insurers

#### Analytical Contacts:

Michael Lagomarsino, Oldwick  
+1 (908) 882-1993  
Michael.Lagomarsino@ambest.com

Morgane Hillebrandt, Amsterdam  
+31 20 808 3176  
Morgane.Hillebrandt@ambest.com

Christopher Graham, Oldwick  
+1 (908) 882-1807  
Christopher.Graham@ambest.com

#### Contributors:

Fred Eslami, Oldwick  
Daniel Ryan, Oldwick  
Thomas Mount, Oldwick  
Sridhar Manyem, Oldwick  
Robert Gabriel, Oldwick

2024-069

are excluding cyber war or silent cyber. Refinement of underwriting standards, as well as active monitoring of risks and exposures, enables (re)insurers to provide more efficient and cost-effective coverage. Overall, results for this segment have been marked by mostly consistent loss ratios, and improving clarity in policy language and wording has helped lower defense and litigation costs.

There was a spike in loss ratios due to the COVID-19 pandemic as businesses had to cobble together remote work environments in haste without paying much heed to cyber security. Despite an increase in claims frequency and severity at the start of the pandemic, the market was able to reprice quickly and has benefitted since then from consistent operating performance. Modelling firms and sophisticated insurers have simulated the impact of a potentially widespread catastrophic cyber event on their clients and the industry, but outcomes vary greatly depending on data and methodologies. AM Best expects more consistent outcomes as models continue to mature and the data quality and consistency continue to improve.

Given the growing number of threats and local regulatory requirements, AM Best expects demand for cyber coverage to increase and remain strong over the medium term as companies, particularly small to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). SMEs, which generally have less sophisticated risk management tools than larger organizations, are likely to increase their cyber covers as they refine their risk appetites and tolerance.

Cyber risks have increased owing to the growing sophistication of cyber attackers, the increase in ransomware and business email compromises (BEC), heightening geopolitical tensions, and the rising threat of AI, as well as the burgeoning awareness of business interruption (BI) and the exponential increases in the use of proprietary and third-party data.

Greater awareness of potential cyber incidents has led to improved cyber hygiene among insureds and better defense against cyber attacks, with quicker response times when attacks occur, helping to limit losses. Cyber insurers have been proactive in working with clients' cyber risk management. Good insurance processes have become a partnership between clients and the insurers.

#### **Reinsurance capacity remains adequate**

Cyber relies heavily on reinsurance and the market has become more of an ecosystem that exists among customers, managing general agents (MGAs), brokers, insurers, and reinsurers. Reinsurance has historically been in the form of quota share and capacity in the reinsurance market seems to be sufficient. In addition, reinsurers bring unique skill sets to the table and help the primary market with their expertise in underwriting and risk management. However, long-term reinsurance capacity will depend on whether or not cyber insurers are able to sustain their profitability. The market has also been experimenting with other forms of reinsurance such as excess of loss and stop loss structures.

About half of all cyber direct premiums written is ceded to reinsurers, far more than any other line of insurance. If reinsurers pull back capacity, primary insurers may find it difficult to meet the demand for coverage. The cyber market is still not mature, as signified by the volatility in the rate environment (both on the upside and downside). As insurers understand the risk better, we can expect to see increasing maturity of the cyber market.

#### **Early Signs are Encouraging For Catastrophe Bonds**

The ILS market for cyber remains nascent, but the increase in cyber catastrophe bond issuances in 2023 and 2024 is a positive, as it helps to further diversify the segment. However, ILS activity will need to grow to support (re)insurers' capital needs. Traditional lines such as property can mitigate

risks through geographical diversification; cyber doesn't allow this and remains a much smaller line of business. The premium base is small, but probable maximum losses (PMLs) can be high and balance sheets can quickly reach the risk tolerance level, constraining (re)insurers that write cyber. The emergence of catastrophe bonds and ILS issuance should expand capacity for writers. Additional capacity will help primary carriers meet the demand for cyber insurance, given the dependence on reinsurance. These excess of loss reinsurance structures and catastrophe bonds help insurers lay off catastrophic risk while retaining the more profitable attritional risk.

### **Potential Negatives Looming**

Insurers have been writing cyber coverage for two decades, but the collection of data has been inconsistent and inadequate from a regulatory perspective. Around two-thirds of global cyber premiums come from the US. Outside of the US, reliable data and track records are lacking, although the situation is improving. More insurance companies are writing cyber business, but not all have the maturity and sophistication to do so.

Geopolitical tensions and an increase in the frequency of attacks is a persistent threat to the cyber segment, even as actors become more sophisticated and threats appear in all directions. Cyber loss aggregation could cause a multiplier effect and has the potential to escalate a cyber incident to the level of a catastrophe.

Artificial intelligence will benefit cyber security and the insurance industry but will also provide cyber criminals with more tools to spread malware, deploy scams, use stolen data, and create harm in new ways. These could certainly be detrimental to organizations without insurance; they can also impact insurers' profitability.

## GUIDE TO BEST'S MARKET SEGMENT OUTLOOKS

Our market segment outlooks examine the impact of current trends on companies operating in particular segments of the insurance industry over the next 12 months. Typical factors we would consider include current and forecast economic conditions; the regulatory environment and potential changes; emerging product developments; and competitive issues that could impact the success of these companies.

A Best's Market Segment Outlook can be Positive, Negative, or Stable.

### Best's Market Segment Outlook

Positive	A Positive market segment outlook indicates that AM Best expects market trends to have a positive influence on companies operating in the market over the next 12 months. However, a Positive outlook for a particular market segment does not mean that the outlook for all the companies operating in that market segment will be Positive.
Negative	A Negative market segment outlook indicates that AM Best expects market trends to have a negative influence on companies operating in the market over the next 12 months. However, a Negative outlook for a particular market segment does not mean that the outlook for all the companies operating in that market segment will be Negative.
Stable	A Stable market segment outlook indicates that AM Best expects market trends to have a neutral influence on companies operating in that market segment over the next 12 months.

We update our market segment outlooks annually but may revisit them at any time during the year if regulatory, financial, or market conditions warrant.

**Copyright © 2024 A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates.** All rights reserved. No portion of the content may be reproduced, distributed, or stored in a database or retrieval system, or transmitted, or uploaded into any external applications, bots or websites, including those using artificial intelligence technologies such as large language models and generative AI in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AM Best. AM Best does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the AM Best content. While the content was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, its accuracy is not guaranteed. You specifically acknowledge that neither AM Best nor the content gives any investment, financial, tax, insurance, or legal advice. You are solely responsible for seeking competent professional advice before making any investment, financial, tax or insurance decision. For additional details, refer to our Terms of Use available at the AM Best website: [www.ambest.com/terms](http://www.ambest.com/terms).

Published by AM Best

## BEST'S MARKET SEGMENT REPORT

**A.M. Best Company, Inc.**  
Oldwick, NJ

**CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT & CEO Arthur Snyder III**  
**SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT & TREASURER Cynthia Young**  
**SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT Lee McDonald**

**A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.**  
Oldwick, NJ

**PRESIDENT & CEO Matthew C. Mosher**  
**EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & COO James Gillard**  
**EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & CSO Andrea Keenan**  
**SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTORS Edward H. Easop, Stefan W. Holzberger, James F. Snee**

### AMERICAS

#### WORLD HEADQUARTERS

A.M. Best Company, Inc.  
A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.  
1 Ambest Road, Oldwick, NJ 08858  
Phone: +1 908 439 2200

#### MEXICO CITY

A.M. Best América Latina, S.A. de C.V.  
Av. Paseo de la Reforma 412, Piso 23,  
Col. Juárez, Alcaldía Cuauhtémoc, C.P. 06600, México, D.F.  
Phone: +52 55 1102 2720

### EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA (EMEA)

#### LONDON

A.M. Best Europe - Information Services Ltd.  
A.M. Best Europe - Rating Services Ltd.  
12 Arthur Street, 8th Floor, London, UK EC4R 9AB  
Phone: +44 20 7626 6264

#### AMSTERDAM

A.M. Best (EU) Rating Services B.V.  
NoMA House, Gustav Mahlerlaan 1212, 1081 LA Amsterdam, Netherlands  
Phone: +31 20 308 5420

#### DUBAI\*

A.M. Best Europe - Rating Services Ltd. - DIFC Branch\*  
Office 102, Tower 2, Currency House, DIFC  
P.O. Box 506617, Dubai, UAE  
Phone: +971 4375 2780

\*Regulated by the DFSA as a Credit Rating Agency

### ASIA-PACIFIC

#### HONG KONG

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific Ltd  
Unit 4004 Central Plaza, 18 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong  
Phone: +852 2827 3400

#### SINGAPORE

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific (Singapore) Pte. Ltd  
6 Battery Road, #39-04, Singapore  
Phone: +65 6303 5000



**Best's Financial Strength Rating (FSR):** an independent opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. An FSR is not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts.

**Best's Issuer Credit Rating (ICR):** an independent opinion of an entity's ability to meet its ongoing financial obligations and can be issued on either a long- or short-term basis.

**Best's Issue Credit Rating (IR):** an independent opinion of credit quality assigned to issues that gauges the ability to meet the terms of the obligation and can be issued on a long- or short-term basis (obligations with original maturities generally less than one year).

**Best's National Scale Rating (NSR):** a relative measure of credit-worthiness in a specific local jurisdiction that is issued on a long-term basis and derived exclusively by mapping the NSR from a corresponding global ICR using a transition chart.

### Rating Disclosure: Use and Limitations

A Best's Credit Rating (BCR) is a forward-looking independent and objective opinion regarding an insurer's, issuer's or financial obligation's relative creditworthiness. The opinion represents a comprehensive analysis consisting of a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of balance sheet strength, operating performance, business profile, and enterprise risk management or, where appropriate, the specific nature and details of a security. Because a BCR is a forward-looking opinion as of the date it is released, it cannot be considered as a fact or guarantee of future credit quality and therefore cannot be described as accurate or inaccurate. A BCR is a relative measure of risk that implies credit quality and is assigned using a scale with a defined population of categories and notches. Entities or obligations assigned the same BCR symbol developed using the same scale, should not be viewed as completely identical in terms of credit quality. Alternatively, they are alike in category (or notches within a category), but given there is a prescribed progression of categories (and notches) used in assigning the ratings of a much larger population of entities or obligations, the categories (notches) cannot mirror the precise subtleties of risk that are inherent within similarly rated entities or obligations. While a BCR reflects the opinion of A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc. (AM Best) of relative creditworthiness, it is not an indicator or predictor of defined impairment or default probability with respect to any specific insurer, issuer or financial obligation. A BCR is not investment advice, nor should it be construed as a consulting or advisory service, as such; it is not intended to be utilized as a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract, security or any other financial obligation, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser. Users of a BCR should not rely on it in making any investment decision; however, if used, the BCR must be considered as only one factor. Users must make their own evaluation of each investment decision. A BCR opinion is provided on an "as is" basis without any expressed or implied warranty. In addition, a BCR may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of AM Best.