

Our Insight, Your Advantage™

April 3, 2024

The outlook remains Stable as property reinsurance margins, investment income, and reinsurance capital improve, but elevated catastrophe activity and geopolitical and economic uncertainty cause concern

# Market Segment Outlook: Global Non-Life Reinsurance

AM Best is maintaining its outlook for the global non-life reinsurance segment at Stable, owing primarily to the following factors:

- Improved property reinsurance margins, driven by increased rates and attachment points in 2023, which are not anticipated to soften through the 2024 cycle
- More robust investment income, driven primarily by higher new money yields on fixed-income instruments
- Reinsurance capital, estimated to have improved materially at year-end 2023, aided by strong underwriting results and partially countered by capital distributions to investors
- More orderly renewal cycles

Counterbalancing factors include the following:

- Elevated catastrophic activity, stressing cedents' capital positions
- Adverse reserve development for casualty business, especially for 2019 accident years and prior
- Elevated geopolitical and economic uncertainties

One factor that has both positive and negative implications is that no significant reinsurance startups have been funded to date.

## **Underwriting Margins Expand as Property Risk Realigns**

Reinsurers entered 2023 renewals with a clear objective to improve underwriting margins and remained persistent throughout the year to achieve it. The January 1, 2023, renewals brought about wide-scale underwriting reforms, with notable increases in attachment points, higher rates, and tightened conditions in the property reinsurance market. This was driven by a multi-year run of lackluster underwriting returns in which reinsurers failed to meet their cost of capital and mitigate the underlying volatility of their business. Underwriting actions have shown promising results thus far, with many reinsurers reporting operating returns on equity (ROE) in the low-to mid-20% range. The underwriting actions appear to have helped improve margins, but the increase in attachment points appears to be the industry's main focus.

Analytical Contact:

Dan Hofmeister, Oldwick +1 (908) 882-1893 Dan.Hofmeister@ambest.com

Contributor: Carlos Wong-Fupuy, Oldwick 2024-022 Primary insurers have recently had robust access to working layer catastrophe reinsurance. However, as the market turned in late 2022 and throughout 2023, working layer and aggregate covers were practically eliminated. The market has generally adapted to the new norms, which has stressed the capital positions of many property insurers. Catastrophe activity remained elevated in 2023, with global catastrophe losses once again estimated at more than USD 100 billion, driven by the growing frequency of smaller catastrophes. With the dramatic increase in average retentions on property reinsurance programs, primary insurers took on most of these losses.

**Copyright © 2024 A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates.** ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No portion of the content may be reproduced, distributed, or stored in a database or retrieval system, or transmitted, or uploaded into any external applications, bots or websites, including those using artificial intelligence technologies such as large language models and generative AI in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AM Best. AM Best does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the AM Best content. While the content was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, its accuracy is not guaranteed. You specifically acknowledge that neither AM Best nor the content gives any investment, financial, tax, insurance, or legal advice. You are solely responsible for seeking completent professional advice before making any investment, financial, tax or insurance decision. For additional details, refer to our Terms of Use available at the AM Best website: www.ambest.com/terms.

The imbalance of losses in the property market favored reinsurers throughout 2023, but we do not expect this to result in significant shifts in 2024. Reinsurers were on the losing end of the imbalance for roughly five years, dating back to the 2017 market shifts. That prolonged stretch of inadequate returns requires more than one strong underwriting year to overcome. Additionally, the precipitous rise in risk-free rates also increases the return reinsurers must achieve to justify the assumed volatility of property business to their stakeholders.

### **Social Inflation Resumes**

Many reinsurers targeted lower volatility casualty reinsurance for growth in recent years, as they also scaled back on higher volatility property exposures. As a result, various casualty lines had experienced multi-year strong growth trends leading into 2023. However, as COVID-19 pandemic concerns waned, reinsurers once again felt the impact of social inflation. A number of reinsurers reported adverse development at year-end 2023, almost all from accident years 2019 and prior, relating to longer-tailed lines of business such as excess casualty.

The market does not anticipate social inflation slowing down in the near term. Litigation financing continues to drive frequency up, with nuclear verdicts impacting severity trends as well. Despite these concerns, most reinsurers believe that much of the casualty reinsurance line is tracking close enough to rate adequacy to avoid significant underwriting changes.

#### **Reinsurance Capital Rebounds**

AM Best projected a 12.2% increase in traditional reinsurance capital for 2023, versus the 13.5% decline in 2022. However, as the 2023 North American hurricane season ended, reinsurers were on pace to nearly double the projected increase. Returns for 2023 appeared to warrant a revision of the original 12.2% projected growth. Some reinsurers, however, are still determining their capital strategies, while others have elected to pay special dividends out of their regulated balance sheets, most notably Berkshire Hathaway's National Indemnity's special USD 83 billion dividend in third-quarter 2023.

Other carriers may pay out large dividends as well. Despite the considerable unrealized losses in 2022, the regulatory capital of many carriers improved owing to higher discount rates on liabilities. With still high discount rates and significantly improved operating results, reinsurers need to determine whether to release capital or double down in the hard market. Regardless, our original 12.2% projection appears adequate, albeit with some potential variation if reinsurers avoid deploying the new capital generated in 2023.

## **Startup Capital Remains Sidelined**

Hard markets have historically resulted in new reinsurers partially filling the capital shortfall in the market. Even though the current hard market is influenced more by operating trends than overall market capital, conditions remain optimal for new entrants. Although many high-profile management teams have announced plans to launch new reinsurers, none have been funded thus far. Furthermore, private equity investors appear to lack interest in deploying capital to newly formed reinsurers.

The reason private equity investors lack interest varies. Higher risk-free rates of return have increased hurdle rates to sometimes excessive levels. Additionally, some investors have decided to focus more heavily on service providers/agencies than traditional reinsurance carriers. Although market interest in new carriers remains, it's more unlikely that one of material size will be formed in the near future.

For more information, please see Market Segment Outlook: Global Reinsurance, November 29, 2023.

## **GUIDE TO BEST'S MARKET SEGMENT OUTLOOKS**

Our market segment outlooks examine the impact of current trends on companies operating in particular segments of the insurance industry over the next 12 months. Typical factors we would consider include current and forecast economic conditions; the regulatory environment and potential changes; emerging product developments; and competitive issues that could impact the success of these companies.

A Best's Market Segment Outlook can be Positive, Negative, or Stable.

Best's Market Segment Outlook	
Positive	A Positive market segment outlook indicates that AM Best expects market trends to have a positive influence on companies operating in the market over the next 12 months. However, a Positive outlook for a particular market segment does not mean that the outlook for all the companies operating in that market segment will be Positive.
Negative	A Negative market segment outlook indicates that AM Best expects market trends to have a negative influence on companies operating in the market over the next 12 months. However, a Negative outlook for a particular market segment does not mean that the outlook for all the companies operating in that market segment will be Negative.
Stable	A Stable market segment outlook indicates that AM Best expects market trends to have a neutral influence on companies operating in that market segment over the next 12 months.
We update our market segment outlooks annually but may revisit them at any time during the year if regulatory, financial, or market conditions warrant.	

**Copyright © 2024 A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates.** All rights reserved. No portion of the content may be reproduced, distributed, or stored in a database or retrieval system, or transmitted, or uploaded into any external applications, bots or websites, including those using artificial intelligence technologies such as large language models and generative AI in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AM Best. AM Best does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the AM Best content. While the content was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, its accuracy is not guaranteed. You specifically acknowledge that neither AM Best nor the content gives any investment, financial, tax, insurance, or legal advice. You are solely responsible for seeking competent professional advice before making any investment, financial, tax or insurance decision. For additional details, refer to our Terms of Use available at the AM Best website: *www.ambest.com/terms*.

## Published by AM Best BEST'S MARKET SEGMENT REPORT

A.M. Best Company, Inc. Oldwick, NJ

CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT & CEO Arthur Snyder III SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT & TREASURER Cynthia Young SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT Lee McDonald

A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.

Oldwick, NJ PRESIDENT & CEO Matthew C. Mosher EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & COO James Gillard EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & CSO Andrea Keenan SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTORS Edward H. Easop, Stefan W. Holzberger, James F. Snee

#### AMERICAS

WORLD HEADQUARTERS A.M. Best Company, Inc. A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc. 1 Ambest Road, Oldwick, NJ 08858 Phone: +1 908 439 2200

MEXICO CITY A.M. Best América Latina, S.A. de C.V. Av. Paseo de la Reforma 412, Piso 23, Col. Juárez, Alcadía Cuauhtémoc, C.P. 06600, México, D.F. Phone: +52 55 1102 2720

EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA (EMEA) LONDON

A.M. Best Europe - Information Services Ltd. A.M. Best Europe - Rating Services Ltd. 12 Arthur Street, 8th Floor, London, UK EC4R 9AB Phone: +44 20 7626 6264

AMSTERDAM A.M. Best (EU) Rating Services B.V. NoMA House, Gustav Mahlerdaan 1212, 1081 LA Amsterdam, Netherlands Phone: +31 20 308 5420

> DUBAI\* A.M. Best Europe - Rating Services Ltd. - DIFC Branch\* Office 102, Tower 2, Currency House, DIFC P.O. Box 506617, Dubal, UAE Phone: +971 4375 2780

\*Regulated by the DFSA as a Credit Rating Agency ASIA-PACIFIC

#### HONG KONG

A.M. Best Asia-Pacific Ltd Unit 4004 Central Plaza, 18 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong Phone: +852 2827 3400

> SINGAPORE A.M. Best Asia-Pacific (Singapore) Pte. Ltd 6 Battery Road, #39-04, Singapore Phone: +65 6303 5000



Best's Financial Strength Rating (FSR): an independent opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. An FSR is not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts.

**Best's Issuer Credit Rating (ICR):** an independent opinion of an entity's ability to meet its ongoing financial obligations and can be issued on either a long- or short-term basis.

Best's Issue Credit Rating (IR): an independent opinion of credit quality assigned to issues that gauges the ability to meet the terms of the obligation and can be issued on a long- or short-term basis (obligations with original maturities generally less than one year).

**Best's National Scale Rating (NSR):** a relative measure of creditworthiness in a specific local jurisdiction that is issued on a long-term basis and derived exclusively by mapping the NSR from a corresponding global ICR using a transition chart.

#### **Rating Disclosure: Use and Limitations**

A Best's Credit Rating (BCR) is a forward-looking independent and objective opinion regarding an insurer's, issuer's or financial obligation's relative creditworthiness. The opinion represents a comprehensive analysis consisting of a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of balance sheet strength, operating performance, business profile, and enterprise risk management or, where appropriate, the specific nature and details of a security. Because a BCR is a forward-looking opinion as of the date it is released, it cannot be considered as a fact or guarantee of future credit quality and therefore cannot be described as accurate or inaccurate. A BCR is a relative measure of risk that implies credit quality and is assigned using a scale with a defined population of categories and notches. Entities or obligations assigned the same BCR symbol developed using the same scale, should not be viewed as completely identical in terms of credit quality. Alternatively, they are alike in category (or notches within a category), but given there is a prescribed progression of categories (and notches) used in assigning the ratings of a much larger population of entities or obligations, the categories (notches) cannot mirror the precise subtleties of risk that are inherent within similarly rated entities or obligations. While a BCR reflects the opinion of A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc. (AM Best) of relative creditworthiness, it is not an indicator or predictor of defined impairment or default probability with respect to any specific insurer, issuer or financial obligation. A BCR is not investment advice, nor should it be construed as a consulting or advisory service, as such; it is not intended to be utilized as a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract, security or any other financial obligation, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser. Users of a BCR should not rely on it in making any investment decision; however, if used, the BCR must be considered as only one factor. Users must make their own evaluation of each investment decision. A BCR opinion is provided on an "as is" basis without any expressed or implied warranty. In addition, a BCR may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of AM Best.

#### Version 011624